

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,087	05/29/2002	Stefan Disch	1999/G-017	9098
. 75	90 04/05/2004		EXAM	INER
Conolly & Hutz			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
P O Box 2207				
Wilmington, D	E 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estemance from many be aminable under the previous of 3 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Estemance from many be aminable under the previous of 3 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely, (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely, (30) days, will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide double, the manufaction of the statutory minimum of timely the statutory reply received by the Citical tent months under the manufaction. Any reply received by the Citical tent from the months greated and the statutory reply days of the statutory reply received by the communication (5) filled on	· *		
### Examiner The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DAT		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Umakan K, Rajguru 1711 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified solve is uses then birtly (30) days, a reply white in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered through the period for reply specified solve, the maintain statutory period will apply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered through the statutory period will apply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered through the statutory period will apply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered through the statutory and will supply and will supply subject to the reliting date of this communication, evon if timely fleet, may reduce alway searched point the maintained and a considered through the statutory and the statutory reply recovered by the Office later than three months after the realing date of this communication, evon if timely fleet, may reduce alway search government of the statutory and the statutory reply recovered by the considered three periods and statutory and the statutory and the statutory and statutory and the statutory and stat		10/069,087	DISCH ET AL
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exhibition is time myly a replyide beginned and the procedure of 3° CPR 1.18(s), in no evert, however, may a reply be timely filed Exhibition is many by a replace base is loss than theny (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of litrir, (30) days will be curadiony timely. If the period for reply specified above is loss than theny (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of litrir, (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of the period for reply specified above is loss than theny (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is loss than theny (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is loss than theny (30) days, a reply within the satisticy maintain of the reply is defined to the communication. An or problem of the satistic many is defined to the satistic period of the communication. An or problem of the satistic many is defined on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. alter STATE OF THIS COMMU	·	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estemance from many be aminable under the previous of 3 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Estemance from many be aminable under the previous of 3 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely, (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely, (30) days, will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered timely. If the petiod for reply septicide double, the manufaction of the statutory minimum of timely the statutory reply received by the Citical tent months under the manufaction. Any reply received by the Citical tent from the months greated and the statutory reply days of the statutory reply received by the communication (5) filled on	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 5 Sisposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-3 & 11-26 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 19 (to a provisional application) in Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 16 Molice of References Clied (PTO-82) to the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 10 ond/or 121.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiding in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiding in the application. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are abjected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. included cataling Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
3			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)			
5	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 11-26</u> is/are pending	g in the application.	
6) Claim(s) 1-3 & 11-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §\$ 120 and/or 121. Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) 1-3 & 11-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Inis National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) See The additional Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		d.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			·
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		on and/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Poratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Application Papers	1	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Application for the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a))☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Draftsperson's Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office.	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	11) The proposed drawing correction filed o	on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are requi	ired in reply to this Office action.	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The proposition of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) The proposition of the provisional application (PTO-152) The proposition of the foreign Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) The proposition of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) The provisional Patent Application (PTO-152) The provisional Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) **Patent and Trademark Office.** **Patent and Trademark Office.**	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Ittachment(s) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) **Patent and Trademark Office.**	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. ttachment(s) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). A) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Balent and Trademark Office.	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s)** Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in Ap	plication No
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Tachment(s)** Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Tachment(s)** Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Tachment(s)** A linterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **ttachment(s)** A			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office	a) 🗌 The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional application has be	en received.
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office Statement Office	Attachment(s)	•	
01.000 (5		9-948) 5) Notice of Inf	
Tartor aper No. 21	S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 21

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 1-3 and 11-26 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1,15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague in reciting, "essentially consisting of ". The proper phrase is either " consisting essentially of ". or "consisting essentially of ".

Same is the case with claim 15.

Claim 1 is also indefinite in referring to "the colored molding composition" when there is no such colored molding composition.

Claims 12. 13, 19, 20, 23 & contain the trademark/trade name VDA 274. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe formaldehyde emission and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.



Application/Control Number: 10/069,087 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pitt et al (US 5476653).

Pitt discloses polyoxymethylene-oxyethlene copolymers. The polymer was prepared using trifluoromethanesulfonic acid as catalyst (col. 7, lines 11-14).

Claim 1 therefore lacks novelty.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1711

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitt et al (US 5476653) or Muck et al (US 5994455).

Pitt does not furnish any information about extents of emission of formaldehyde. It is the examiners position that copolymers of Pitts obvious satisfy limitation.

Muck discloses a process for preparation of polyoxymethylene copolymers. A strong protonic acid is used as an initiator (col. 2, line 22-29). Like Pitt Muck is silent on extent of formaldehyde emitted.

It would have been obvious follow Pitt or Muck and arrive at instant invention.

8. Claims 2, 3, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitt et al (US 5476653) or Muck et al (US 5994455) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kosinski (EP 448037) and Chapman et al (US 3656982).

Neither Pitt nor Muck mentions colorants (of claims 2 & 3)

Kosinsk describe polyacetal compositions, which can include other useful ingredients such as pigments, colorants, stabilizers etc. (page. 12, lines 49-34).

Chapman discloses pearlescent pigment. Such as a pigment is used after coating it with 1% calcium stearate (col. 6, lines 8-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to admix appropriate colorant/s or pigment/s with the copolymers of Pitt or Muck and also to coat these colorant/s or pigment/s with alkali metal salt in order to impart color, hiding power and enhanced luster as well as appearance to the molded product/s made out of the copolymers **

Art Unit: 1711

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muck et al (US 5994455) or Pitt et al (US 5476653) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yokoyama et al (US 5952410).

Muck and Pitt are silent about the amount of oxymethylene units.

Yokoyama discloses polyacetal resin composition. In col. 6, lines 16-30, patentee discusses the influence of respective amounts of oxmethylene and oxyethylene units in the copolymer on the melting temperature of the copolymer.

Hence based on teaching of Yokoyama one would obviously be led to chose the claimed mol% of oxymethylene units in order to adjust the melting point as required for easy processing.

10. Claims 15-18,21,22,25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muck et al (US 5994455) or Pitt et al (US 5476653) each in view of Kosinski et al (US 5952410), Chapman et al (US 3656982) and Yokoyama et al (US 5952410)

Please see item 8 (above) for the gist of this rejection.

It is noted that prior art is silent about limitations of instant claims 12,13,19,20,23 and 24. It is the examiners position that since prior art discloses composition containing ingredients (a) which read on the claimed ones and (b) in amounts that are similar to or overlap those that are instantly claimed, it is reasonable to infer unless proved otherwise, that the composition of prior art obviously satisfies limitations of above claims.

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

U. K. Rajguru/af March 26, 2004 James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700